



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,365	04/11/2006	Munetaka Watanabe	Q78082	9055
23373	7590	02/03/2009		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			HSIEH, HSIN YI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
02/03/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/575,365	WATANABE, MUNETAKA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hsin-Yi (Steven) Hsieh	2811	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hsin-Yi (Steven) Hsieh. (3) Yan Lan.

(2) Lynne Gurley. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kamimura et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The limitation "the bonding pad layer is provided atop a portion less than the entirety of the ohmic electrode layer" of claim 1 was discussed. The examiner suggested language to clarify the position of the portion, for example "'the bonding pad layer is provided atop a portion of the ohmic electrode layer, said portion is less than the entirety of the ohmic electrode layer'. The attorney stated that examiner's comment will be taken into consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hsin-Yi (Steven) Hsieh/
Examiner, Art Unit 2811

/Lynne A. Gurley/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2811